Introduction to the physics of artificial gauge fields

Lecture 2: Magnetism in a periodic lattice (continued)

Jean Dalibard *Collège de France and Laboratoire Kastler Brossel*



Outline

1. Gauge fields on a lattice

2. Hofstadter butterfly

3. Shaken lattices

4. Lattices combining several internal states Laser assisted tunnelling Flux lattices

> Dalibard, Gerbier, Juzeliunas, Ohberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, p.1523 (2011) Goldman, Juzeliunas, Ohberg, Spielman, arXiv:1308.6533

Laser assisted tunnelling



Atom with two internal states $|g\rangle, |e\rangle$ Ladder geometry with two parallel 1D lattices



The coupling with the laser induces a jump of the atom from one side of the ladder to the other one

 $|g, w_j\rangle \rightarrow |e, w_j\rangle$

Tunnel matrix element along the line *j* :



a can be "fictitious": Celi et al. (2014)

Laser assisted tunnelling (continued)



Phase accumulated on a unit cell

$$\Theta = 0 + (j+1)kb + 0 - jkb$$
$$= kb$$

$$k = 2\pi/\lambda \qquad \qquad b \sim \lambda$$

 Θ can take adjustable values between 0 et 2π , by controlling the angle of the coupling laser with the axis y.

Extension in two dimensions



Staggered flux : on a given line, from left to right, the phase of the tunnel coefficient oscillates between

$$+j\,kb$$
 for $|g\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle$

and

$$-j \, kb$$
 for $|e\rangle \rightarrow |g\rangle$

One can rectify this flux with slightly more complicated schemes

Jaksch & Zoller (2003), Gerbier & Dalibard (2010)

Is it worth using internal atomic states?

Difficulties associated to the simulation of lattice magnetism:

- transitions to higher bands (not taken into account here)
- heating due to the shaking

For a tilted+shaken lattice



- to avoid interband transitions: $\Omega_0 \ll \Delta$
- to avoid heating due to shaking, good hierachy in the time scales: $\bar{J}\sim J\ll \Omega$

The resonance condition $\Omega = \Omega_0$ then imposes $\bar{J} \ll \Omega \ll \Delta$: small tunnel coefficients...

For tunnelling between different internal states:

Only one inequality to fulfill: $\bar{J} \sim \kappa \ll \Delta$ but inelastic collisions between various internal states can create other difficulties

A different approach to artificial magnetism: Flux lattices

Reminder: two-level atom in a light field, Rabi frequency κ , detuning Δ

$$\hat{V}_{A-L} = \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & e^{-i\phi}\sin\theta \\ e^{i\phi}\sin\theta & -\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Omega = \left(\Delta^2 + |\kappa|^2\right)^{1/2} \qquad \tan\theta = |\kappa|/\Delta \qquad \kappa = |\kappa| e^{i\phi}$$
$$\vec{A} = \frac{\hbar}{2}(1 - \cos\theta)\vec{\nabla}\phi$$



What happens in a static fully periodic lattice ?

 $\Omega, \theta, \phi : \text{periodic functions of } x, y$ $\vec{A} = \frac{\hbar}{2} (1 - \cos \theta) \vec{\nabla} \phi \quad \text{is also periodic, hence one expects naively}$ $\oint_C \vec{A} \cdot \vec{dl} = 0 \qquad \iint B_z(x, y) \ dx \ dy = 0$

Correct only if \vec{A} has no singularity in the unit cell



Singularities of \vec{A} can occur at any point where $\sin \theta$ vanishes: ϕ undefined

An example of flux lattice (Cooper 2011)

$$\hat{V}_{\rm A-L} = \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & e^{-i\phi}\sin\theta \\ e^{i\phi}\sin\theta & -\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} \cos\theta \propto \sin(kx)\sin(ky) \\ \sin\theta e^{i\phi} \propto \cos(kx) + i\cos(ky) \end{cases}$$

Singularities for A in points where $\cos(kx) = 0$ and $\cos(ky) = 0$



$$\iint B_z(x,y) \, dx \, dy = -\pi\hbar \sum_{\text{singular } j} \operatorname{sign}(j)$$
$$= 4\pi\hbar$$

$$B_z = \frac{\hbar k^2}{2} \frac{1 - C_x^2 C_y^2}{(1 + C_x^2 C_y^2)^{3/2}} , \quad C_x = \cos kx, \ C_y = \cos ky$$

How to operate a flux lattice?

Tight binding limit ? Because of the relation $\iint B_z(x,y) \, dx \, dy = -\pi\hbar \sum_{\text{singular } j} \operatorname{sign}(j)$

there is an integer number of flux quanta per plaquette: not so interesting

Leaving the tight binding limit $\hbar\Omega \sim E_{
m recoil}$

The adiabatic approximation becomes questionable and one has to

calculate the band structure of $\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & e^{-i\phi}\sin\theta \\ e^{i\phi}\sin\theta & -\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$

Look e.g. at the lowest band n = 0:

- should be as flat as possible: Mimic the degeneracy of Landau levels, increase the role of interactions
- should possess "magnetic properties", characterized by its Chern index C

Realistic example for alkali atoms



Lowest band : Chern index=+1, width=0.01 recoil energy

Gap above the lowest band: 0.4 recoil energy

Summary of some methods for simulating orbital magnetism

Goal : Reach a given cyclotron frequency ω_c or spin-orbit coupling

	Time-independent Hamiltonian	Time-dependent Hamiltonian frequency Ω
No use of internal states	Rotation with conserved angular momentum	Rotation with a stirrer Shaken lattices
Use of internal states	Berry's phase based schemes Flux lattices Laser assisted tunnelling Spin-orbit coupling	Spin-orbit coupling

Lecture 3 : Artificial magnetism and interactions

Discussion for the case of a rotating Bose gas, with the single-particle Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}=rac{\hat{m{p}}^2}{2M}+rac{1}{2}M\omega^2\hat{m{r}}^2-\Omega\hat{L}_z$$
 in the rotating frame

Can be extended to any other simulation of uniform magnetism, taking



Outline of this part

1. From the standard vortex lattice to the mean-field lowest Landau level (LLL)

2. Beyond mean-field: quantum-Hall like states

Fetter, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, p. 647 (2009) Cooper, Advances in Physics, 57, p. 539 (2008) Bloch, Dalibard, Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, p.885 (2008)

Rotation and "standard" vortex lattices



Standard response of a superfluid to rotation

- 2π phase winding around each vortex
- 2 π phase winding around each vortex Vortex core size: healing length ξ with $\mu = \frac{\hbar^2}{2M\xi^2}$

Baym-Pethick Dalfovo-Stringari

Number of vortices $N_{\rm v}$? Answer from Feynman: compare the quantum velocity field $v = \frac{\hbar}{M} \nabla$ [phase] and the expected one for a classical fluid $v = \mathbf{\Omega} \times r$

Along a circle of radius *R*:
$$\oint \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{dr} = \frac{\hbar}{M} 2\pi N_v \quad \boldsymbol{\leftarrow} \qquad \boldsymbol{\geq} 2\pi \Omega R^2$$

$$N_v = \frac{M\Omega R^2}{\hbar}$$
 vortex density: $\rho_v = \frac{N_v}{\pi R^2} = \frac{M\Omega}{\pi\hbar}$

Checked experimentally at MIT

The limit of fast rotation



- The cloud density goes down.
- The healing length ξ (core size) goes up.
- The healing length ξ (core size) goes up. The vortex density tends to a constant (Feynman): $\rho_v = \frac{M\Omega}{\pi\hbar} \approx \frac{M\omega}{\pi\hbar}$

The limit $\rho_v \xi^2 \gtrsim 1$ or equivalently $\mu \lesssim \hbar \omega$ corresponds to the entrance in the LLL regime

Ho. 2001 Cooper, Komineas, Read, 2004 Fischer, Watanabe, Baym, Pethick, 2004 Aftalion, Blanc, Dalibard, 2005 Matveenko, Kovrizhin, Ouvry, Shlyapnikov, 2009 Single particle states for $\Omega \rightarrow \omega$



I

LLL states



Around the root u_m of the polynomial, the phase rotates by $+2\pi$: vortex !

In the LLL, it is equivalent to specify the wave function (coefficients α_m) or the vortex positions (roots u_m)

The vortex core size is similar to vortex separation

Ground state in the LLL (mean field)

Two-dimensional problem: interactions described by a contact potential $\, {\hbar^2\over M} \, g \, \delta^{(2)}({m r}) \,$

Typical values: *g* between 0.01 to 1

Dimensionless constant $g = \sqrt{8\pi} \frac{a}{a_z}$ $\begin{cases} a: 3D \text{ scattering length} \\ a_z: "thickness" along the z direction = <math>\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{M\omega_z}} \end{cases}$



Regular lattice at center

Inverted parabola shape typical of Thomas-Fermi regime with $~R_{
m TF} \propto \Lambda^{1/4}$ Vortex number: $N_v \propto \Lambda^{1/2}$

Experiments in the LLL

Boulder : evaporative spin-up method, which allowed to reach

 $\Omega=0.993\,\omega$

Fractional core area of the vortices Ω : good agreement with the predictions





100 000 Rb atoms, the trap is effectively 2D once rotating

Outline of this part

1. From the standard vortex lattice to the mean-field LLL

2. Beyond mean-field: quantum-Hall like states

Melting of the vortex lattice, Laughlin state, ...

Fetter, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, p. 647 (2009) Cooper, Advances in Physics, 57, p. 539 (2008) Bloch, Dalibard, Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, p.885 (2008)

Limits of the LLL mean-field theory

Number of particles : N

Number of single-particle states that are effectively occupied = number of vortices

$$N_v \approx \Lambda^{1/2} \approx \left(\frac{Ng}{1 - \Omega/\omega}\right)^{1/2}$$

When $N_v \sim N$, one can significantly lower the ground state energy by considering correlated states $\Psi(r_1, \ldots, r_N) \neq \psi(r_1) \ldots \psi(r_N)$



valid for a weak-enough interaction: g < 1

How to find these correlated states?

Ground state of
$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}_{i}^{2}}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^{2}\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{i}^{2} \right) + \frac{\hbar^{2}}{M}g \sum_{i < j} \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}_{i} - \boldsymbol{r}_{j})$$

for a given total angular momentum $\mathcal L$

LLL:
$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) = \mathcal{P}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) \exp(-\sum_i r_i^2 / 2a_\perp^2)$$

where ${\mathcal P}$ is a symmetric polynomial of the variables u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_N $u_j = x_j + {
m i} y_j$

For example $N = 2, \ \mathcal{L} = 2:$ $\mathcal{P}(u_1, u_2) = \alpha(u_1^2 + u_2^2) + \beta u_1 u_2$

Generally, sum of
$$u_1^{\alpha_1} \dots u_N^{\alpha_N} \qquad \sum_i \alpha_i = \mathcal{L}$$

A few remarkable configurations

Cooper, Wilkin, Gunn Sinova, MacDonald, et al Lewenstein, Barberan, et al

 \blacksquare When $N_v \sim \frac{N}{10}~$, the vortex lattice melts because of quantum fluctuations

 \longrightarrow When $N_v = 2N$ or more precisely $m_{\max} = 2N$ (filling factor ½), Laughlin state

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{Lau.}}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) = \prod_{i < j} (u_i - u_j)^2$$
 total polynomial degree:
 $\mathcal{L} = N(N-1)$

Never two particles at the same place: strong correlations!

Zero interaction energy for a contact potential: $\frac{\hbar^2}{M}g \sum_{i < j} \delta^{(2)}({m r}_i - {m r}_j)$

Separated by a gap from all other states with the same angular momentum

$$E_{
m gap} \approx 0.1 \, g \, \hbar \omega$$
 Regnault-Jolicoeur

When
$$N_v = N$$
 or more precisely $m_{\max} = N$ (filling factor 1),
Moore-Read (Pfaffian) state (never 3 particles at the same location)
 $E_{\text{gap}} \sim 0.05 \ g \ \hbar \omega$ Chang et al.

Similar study for atoms in a flux lattice with a very narrow lowest band

Band width: $0.015 E_{\rm R}$ Gap: $0.7 E_{\rm R}$

Calculation of the gap as function of the interaction strength g



Cooper & Dalibard PRL **110**, 185301 (2013)

How can one detect these correlated states?



Laughlin state: never two particles at the same location

Gap between the ground state and all excited states

Flat density profile for a Laughlin state in a harmonic potential



Roncaglia, Rizzi, Dalibard, calculation for 9 particles

How can one detect these correlated states (continued)?

Detection of individual atoms after a time-of-flight

Reconstruction of spatial correlation functions



Quest for non conventional statistics [anyons, (Wilczek, 1982)] for the excited states of these fluids



Paredes et al.

- → create two excitations (holes) with two laser beams
- rotate one excitation around the other one
- → Detect the accumulated phase

Conclusions

We now have a vast range of tools to simulate a one-body Hamiltonian with magnetic properties

Subtle topological states can be evidenced in this way

A (very) big challenge is to produce strongly correlated states with these one-body Hamiltonians + interactions

Would allow one to address important open questions on topological quantum matter