Fine structure constant determinations

F. Nez

Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, UPMC,ENS,CNRS "Metrology of simple systems and fundamental tests" *Quantum metrology and fundamental constants*



S. Galtier, F. Nez, L. Julien, P. Cladé, S. Guellati-Khélifa, F. Biraben, R. Bouchendira

Present status of the knowledge of the fine structure constant



Codata 2010 arXiv:1203.5425v1 [physics.atom-ph]

A bit of history

A brief history : 19th century

Optical spectrum (Balmer 1885)



Balmer-Rydberg formula (1889)

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = R\left(\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)$$

n and p integers R : Rydberg constant





A bit of history

1905 : Special theory of relativity

1913 : Bohr model description of H atom

1916 : A. Sommerfeld tried to include special relativity in

the Bohr model to explain the fine structure observed in H

Werten der Konstanten e, m_H und L. Wir wollen diesem System der strahlungstheoretischen universellen Einheiten ein System von spektroskopischen Einheiten gegenüberstellen.

Von Bohr wurde bereits betont, daß die Rydbergsche Zahl¹) in der Grenze für ein hohes Atomgewicht

(46)
$$N_{\infty} = \frac{2\pi^2 m e^4}{c h^3}$$
 Rydberg constant

Wir fügen den Bohrschen Gleichungen (46) und (47) die charakteristische Konstante unserer Feinstrukturen

(49)
$$\alpha = \frac{2\pi e^2}{e \hbar}$$
 Fine Structure constant

Messungen ergaben für Δv_H einen Wert, der, wenn man die Grenzen recht weit steckt, zwischen 0,35 und 0,37 liegt. Wir werden daher mit

(50) $\Delta v_H = 0,360 + \Theta \cdot 0,010 \quad \text{H}\gamma \text{ (Paschen series)}$

rechnen, wo Θ ein positiver oder negativer echter Bruch ist, der aber vermutlich der 0 näher liegt als der 1. Trotz dieser beträchtlichen Unsicherheit werden wir zu einigen bemerkenswerten Folgerungen über die universellen Einheiten gelangen.

Zunächst ergibt sich wegen $\Delta v_H = N\alpha^2/16$ f.s. scaled as α^4 (51) $\alpha^2 = (5,25 + \Theta \cdot 0,15) \cdot 10^{-5}$, $\alpha = (7,25 + \Theta \cdot 0,10) \cdot 10^{-3}$ Value of α from f.s. 1916. № 17. ANNALEN DER PHYSIK. VIERTE FOLGE. BAND 51.

1. Zur Quantentheorie der Spektrallinien; von A. Sommerfeld.

$$e = (4,76 + \eta \cdot 0,05) \cdot 10^{-10},$$

 $h = (6,51 + \eta \cdot 0,11) \cdot 10^{-27},$
 $\alpha^2 = (5,30 + \eta \cdot 0,04) \cdot 10^{-5},$
 $\Delta v_H = 0,363 + \eta \cdot 0,0025,$
 $m_H = (1,64 + \eta \cdot 0,02) \cdot 10^{-24},$
 $L = (6,08 - \eta \cdot 0,06) \cdot 10^{23}.$

Codata 2012

e x c =4.8032...10⁻¹⁰ abs.e.m.u.

h = 6.62606957(29) x 10⁻³⁴ J s

α²= 5.3251354528 x 10⁻³

 $\Delta v_{H} = 0.36551...cm^{-1}$

History of α determinations (from "CODATA xxxx")



Sommerfeld 1916 (~ 10 ⁻²) :	History of α determinations α^{-1} =137,4 (1,3)	H f.s. + indirect
Birge 1929 (~ 8.10 ⁻⁴) :	α ⁻¹ =137,29 (11)	indirect (e, h, c)
Birge 1941 (~ 1.10 ⁻⁴) :	α ⁻¹ =137,030 (16)	indirect (R_{∞} , e/m, F, N_A)
LSA 1952 (~ 1.10 ⁻⁵) :	α ⁻¹ =137,037 7 (16)	(LSA D f.s., a _e)
LSA 1965 (~ 4.10 ⁻⁶) :	α ⁻¹ =137,038 8 (6)	(H Lamb shift, muonium hfs, a _e)
B.N.T. 1969 (~ 1.10 ⁻⁷) :	α ⁻¹ =137,036 02 (21)	(H hfs, γ_p , Josephson)
codata 1973 (~ 8.10 ⁻⁸) :	α ⁻¹ =137,036 04 (11)	(data selection + uncert. expansion)
codata 1986 (~ 4.10 ⁻⁸) :	α ⁻¹ = 137,035 989 5 (61)	(data selection + uncert. expansion)
codata 1998 (~ 4.10 ⁻⁹) :	α ⁻¹ =137,035 999 76 (50)	(mainly, a _{e-ws})
codata 2002 (~ 4.10 ⁻⁹) :	α ⁻¹ =137,035 999 11 (50)	(a _{e-Ws} , h/m _{Cs})
codata 2006 (~ 7.10 ⁻¹⁰) :	α ⁻¹ =137,035 999 679 (94)	(a _{e-Hd})
codata 2010 (~ 3.10 ⁻¹⁰) :	α ⁻¹ =137,035 999 074 (44)	(a _{e-Hd} , h/m _{Rb})
latest QED 2012 (~ 2.5.10 ⁻¹⁰)	lpha ⁻¹ =137,035 999 166 (34)	(a _{e-Hd} , 10th order QED)

Determinations of the fine structure constant

- dimension less (free of units)
- scales electromagnetic interaction (common to all methods : charge particle in e.m field)



General outline

Lecture I : less accurate determinations of α

- Quantum Hall effect
- Hydrogen fine and hyperfine structure
- Helium fine structure
- Exotic hydrogen-like atoms
- Lecture II : most accurate determinations of α
 - ➢ g-2 (lepton)
 - ≻ h/m
 - > contribution of α in new SI

Quantum Hall effect (see v.K. Wed. 18th July) $\rightarrow \alpha$ (1.8×10⁻⁸)

VOLUME 45, NUMBER 6

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

11 August 1980

New Method for High-Accuracy Determination of the Fine-Structure Constant Based on Quantized Hall Resistance

K. v. Klitzing

Physikalisches Institut der Universität Würzburg, D-8700 Würzburg, Federal Republic of Germany, and Hochfeld-Magnetlabor des Max-Planck-Instituts für Festkörperforschung, F-38042 Grenoble, France



Quantum Hall effect (see v.K. Wed. 18th July) $\rightarrow \alpha$ (1.8×10⁻⁸)



Quantum Hall effect (see v.K. Wed. 18 July) $\rightarrow \alpha$ (1.8×10⁻⁸)



2012 : \rightarrow Universality of R_K : Graphene, GaAs/AlGaAs (see Tzalenchuk talk Thurs 19 July) \rightarrow QED correction negligible

General outline

Lecture I : less accurate determinations of α

- Quantum Hall effect
- Hydrogen fine and hyperfine structure
 - Helium fine structure
 - Exotic hydrogen-like atoms
- Lecture II : most accurate determinations of $\,\alpha\,$
 - ➢ g-2 (lepton)
 - ≻ h/m
 - \succ contribution of α in new SI

Hydrogen fine structure



$$R_{\infty} = \frac{mc^2\alpha^2}{2hc}$$

1916: A Sommerfeld tried to include special relativity in the Bohr model to explain the fine structure observed in H $\rightarrow \alpha = v_e/c$ velocity of the electron on the 1st orbit of Bohr model to the velocity of light

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c}$$
 Fine structure constant

 \rightarrow angular momentum quantization $k \neq n$ \rightarrow failed because spin of the electron is missing

1928 : Dirac equation combines more recent equation describing H spectrum (wave function) (Schrödinger eq.) and the relativity.

 \rightarrow positron (anti-electron) \rightarrow spin of the electron

The fine structure constant introduced by A. Sommerfeld is still the relevant parameter for the H spectroscopy



Quantum ElectroDynamics (QED)

Electrodynamics : interaction between charge and field

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{QED}: \mbox{quantization of the field} \\ \Rightarrow \mbox{photon}: \mbox{field quantized in term of quantum harmonic oscillators} \\ \Rightarrow \mbox{quantum harmonic oscillators } E_n = (n+1/2) \hbar \omega \\ \mbox{ground state: zero energy} = 1/2 \ \hbar \omega \ \mbox{renormalization} \Rightarrow 0 \\ \mbox{Heisenberg principle } \Delta E \Delta t \sim \hbar \ \Rightarrow \ \mbox{fluctuations} \end{array}$

QED vacuum is subject to fluctuations around zero average-field

⇒ spontaneous emission : quantum state = atom + field = not a stationary state Vacuum fluctuations→ spontaneous emission

⇒ energy (E) carried out by the electron in vacuum = E of electron + E in the e.m. field =electron + cloud of photons = renormalization of the electron mass (self energy~ Δ m/m)

 \Rightarrow Quantum vacuum : continuously appearing and disappearing pair of "virtual" particles (particle anti-particle) (vacuum polarization~ ϵ_r)

Observations QED vacuum : anomaly of the gyromagnetic factor of the electron, Lamb shift (~1948)

Hydrogen hyperfine structure

Hyperfine structure in atoms results from the small magnetic moment of the nucleus

The electron and the proton have both a spin, that is an intrinsic angular momentum.

S=1/2 for the electron I=1/2 for the proton

The total momentum is : $\vec{F} = \vec{S} + \vec{I}$

This leads to a splitting of all hydrogen energy levels which varies roughly as $1/n^3$



The 1S hyperfine structure of hydrogen



In an applied magnetic field B, the F = 1 hyperfine level is split in three Zeeman sublevels.

In a high field, electronic and nuclear moments are decoupled.

In an inhomogeneous magnetic field, atoms undergo a force

$$F_{x} = \overrightarrow{\mu_{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{B}}{\partial x}$$

(see Helmerson talk Friday 20 July)

 \rightarrow deflection of an atomic beam



J.M.B. Kellogg, I.I. Rabi and J.R. Zacharias, Phys. Rev. <u>50</u>, 472 (1936)



Atomic beam magnetic resonance (after

Principle of the method :

- two magnets to deviate the atomic beam and select a

given state

atomic

source

- an oscillatory field to induce a transition between two states

First accurate measurement of the 1S hyperfine splitting :

 $B_1 \cos(\omega t)$

J.E. Nafe, E.B. Nelson and I.I. Rabi, Phys. Rev. <u>71</u>, 914 (1947); <u>73</u>, 718 (1948); <u>75</u>, 1194 (1949); <u>76</u>, 1858 (1949).

 ∂B_0

 ∂x

detector

in hydrogen $\Delta v_{\rm H}$ = 1420. 410 (6) MHz in deuterium $\Delta v_{\rm D}$ = 327. 384 (3) MHz

Disagreement with theoretical predictions $\rightarrow g \neq 2$

G. Breit, Phys. Rev. 72, 984 (1947)

 ∂B_0

 ∂x

J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. <u>73</u>, 416 (1948) and <u>76</u>, 790 (1949)



(after the II w. war ...)

The hydrogen maser

It gives by far the most accurate measurement of the hydrogen hyperfine structure



First measurement by H.M. Goldenberg, D. Kleppner and N.F. Ramsey (1960)

Combined value of precise measurements : $\Delta v_{H} = 1420\ 405\ 751.\ 7667\ (9)\ Hz$ $\Delta v_{D} = 327\ 384\ 352.\ 5219\ (17)\ Hz$

For a review, see : N.F. Ramsey in Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>62</u>, 541 (1990)

The 2S hyperfine structure of hydrogen

• It has been measured early by an atomic beam magnetic resonance method ...

J.W. Heberle, H.A. Reich and P. Kusch, Phys. Rev. <u>101</u>, 612 (1956) H.A. Reich, J.W. Heberle and P. Kusch, Phys. Rev. <u>104</u>, 1585 (1956)

> $\Delta v_{\rm H} (2S) = 177 556.86 (5) \text{ kHz}$ $\Delta v_{\rm D} (2S) = 40 924.439 (20) \text{ kHz}$

and recently remeasured more precisely
 N.E. Rothery and E.A. Hessels, Phys. Rev. A <u>61</u>, 044501 (2000)
 Δν_μ (2S) = 177 556. 785 (29) kHz

It is not exactly equal to $\Delta v_{\rm H}$ (1S) / 8

• The most accurate measurement is now an optical measurement !

N. Kolachevsky et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>102</u>, 213002 (2009)

 $\Delta v_{\rm H}$ (2S) = 177 556 834.3 (6.7) Hz

(Garching)

(Columbia)

The hyperfine structure of hydrogen : discussion

The 1S and 2S hyperfine structures in hydrogen and deuterium Δv_{H} and Δv_{D} have been measured very precisely (~ 10⁻¹² for the 1S)

But, the comparison of their predicted and measured values cannot provide a competitive value of α because of the relative uncertainty of the theory (~ 10⁻⁶) due to the internal structure of the proton (or deuteron) :

- Proton charge radius : contradictory values (H, scatt., μp)
- Spin of the proton : « spin crisis », proton spin not only due to the 3 quarks

An accurate value of α is needed to test all QED calculations

To overcome this limitation, a possibility is to study purely leptonic systems : positronium and muonium (see after)

Hydrogen levels



Hydrogen fine structure : Lamb and Retherford experiment (I)

Principle



- Thermal dissociation of molecular hydrogen in an oven \rightarrow H (1S)
- Crossed electronic bombardment of the atomic beam \rightarrow H (2S)
- Quenching of the metastable state by a RF in various magnetic fields
- Detection of the metastable atoms through electron ejection from a metal target



(the hyperfine splitting is omitted on the diagram)

Due to βe and βf level crossings, and to the motional electric field seen by the atoms, the atomic beam is polarized

RF transitions are induced between $2S_{1/2}(\alpha)$ state and the various sublevels of $2P_{1/2}$ and $2P_{3/2}$

They are detected through the decrease of the 2S beam intensity

Hydrogen fine structure : the Lamb and Retherford experiment (II)



fixed RF frequency and various B field values

Hydrogen fine structure : the Lamb and Retherford experiment (II)

ТНЕ

Physical Review

 \mathcal{A} journal of experimental and theoretical physics established by E. L. Nichols in 1893

Second Series, Vol. 79, No. 4

AUGUST 15, 1950

Fine Structure of the Hydrogen Atom.* Part I

WILLIS E. LAMB, JR., AND ROBERT C. RETHERFORD[†] Columbia Radiation Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, New York (Received April 10, 1950)

The fine structure of the hydrogen atom is studied by a microwave method. A beam of atoms in the metastable $2^2S_{1/2}$ state is produced by bombarding atomic hydrogen. The metastable atoms are detected when they fall on a metal surface and eject electrons. If the metastable atoms are subjected to radiofrequency power of the proper frequency, they undergo transitions to the nonmetastable states $2^2P_{1/2}$ and $2^2P_{3/2}$ and decay to the ground state $1^2S_{1/2}$ in which they are not detected. In this way it is determined that contrary to the predictions of the Dirac theory, the $2^2S_{1/2}$ state does not have the same energy as the $2^2P_{1/2}$ state, but lies higher by an amount corresponding to a frequency of about 1000 Mc/sec. Within the accuracy of the measurements, the separation of the $2^2P_{1/2}$ and $2^2P_{3/2}$ levels is in agreement with the Dirac theory. No differences in either level shift or doublet separation were observed between hydrogen and deuterium. These results were obtained with the first working apparatus. Much more accurate measurements will be reported in subsequent papers as well as a detailed comparison with the quantum electrodynamic explanation of the level shift by Bethe.

Among the topics discussed in connection with this work are (1) spectroscopic observations of the H_{α} line, (2) early attempts to use microwaves to study the hydrogen fine structure, (3) exintence of metastable hydrogen atoms, their properties and methods for their production and detection, (4) estimates of yield and r-f power requirements, (5) Zeeman and hyperfine structure effects, (6) quenching of metastable hydrogen atoms by electric and motional electric fields, (7) production of a polarized beam of metastable hydrogen atoms.

" $2S_{1/2}$ lies higher $2P_{1/2}$ about 1000 Mc/sec" \rightarrow Nobel prize 1955

Hydrogen fine structure : the Lamb and Retherford experiment (III)

Detailed analysis of the line profiles

(the hyperfine splitting is visible on the signal)



Results

for the $2S_{1/2} - 2P_{1/2}$ interval

in hydrogen and deuterium :

 $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 1057.77 (10) MHz

 $\delta_{\rm D}$ = 1059.00 (10) MHz

References

W.E. Lamb Jr. and R.C. Retherford, Phys. Rev. <u>79</u>, 549 (1950) Phys. Rev. <u>81</u>, 222 (1951)
W.E. Lamb Jr, Phys. Rev. <u>85</u>, 259 (1952)
W.E. Lamb Jr. and R.C. Retherford, Phys. Rev. <u>86</u>, 1014 (1952)
S. Triebwasser, E.S. Dayhoff and W.E. Lamb Jr, Phys. Rev. <u>89</u>, 98 (1953)
E.S. Dayhoff, S. Triebwasser and W.E. Lamb Jr, Phys. Rev. <u>89</u>, 106(1953)

The fine structure of hydrogen : discussion

One can determine the n = 2 fine structure of hydrogen from the combination of measurements involving 2P states

The derived value of $1/\alpha$ is : 137.036003(41) (accuracy 3 x 10⁻⁷) (Codata 2012) It is not competitive since it is limited by the large natural width of the 2P levels (~ 100 MHz)

The fine structure constant is used as a cross check of the consistency of the data

General outline

Lecture I : less accurate determinations of α

- Quantum Hall effect
- Hydrogen fine and hyperfine structure

Helium fine structure

Exotic hydrogen-like atoms

Lecture II : most accurate determinations of α

- ➢ g-2 (lepton)
- ≻ h/m

> contribution of α in new SI

Helium fine structure

Helium :

- \checkmark simplest multi electron atom \rightarrow calculations can be performed
- \checkmark the 1¹S₀ and 2 ³S₁ states are metastable
- ✓ ⁴He : nuclear spin 0 \rightarrow no hyperfine structure
- ✓ The lifetime of 2³P states are longer compared to hydrogen

 $(\Delta v = 1.6 MHz / 100 MHz)$ since they cannot easily decay to the ground state



The spectroscopy of helium atom : fine structure



Frequency intervals have been determined either from optical line structures or by microwave techniques

The spectroscopy of helium atom : fine structure

various experimental methods

• Laser fluorescence technique with an atomic beam (LENS, Florence) combines sub-Doppler laser spectroscopy and direct microwave measurement

G. Giusfredi et al., Can. J. Phys. <u>83</u>, 301 (2005)

 Separated oscillatory field microwave measurement of the 2³P₁-2³P₂ interval uses an optical pulse in a thermal beam followed by two RF pulses (York U.) J.S. Borbely *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A <u>79</u>, 060503 (2009)

• Doppler-free saturation spectroscopy in a cell (Harvard U.)

T. Zelevinsky, D. Farkas and G. Gabrielse, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>95</u>, 203001 (2005)

 Electro-optic laser technique in an atomic beam (North Texas U.) uses modulated sidebands of a laser diode to measure fine structure and various Zeeman intervals

M. Smiciklas and D. Shyner, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>105</u>, 123001 (2010)

All these measurements need very careful study of all systematic effects (Zeeman, pressure, 2nd order Doppler ...)

Helium fine structure : discussion

All these accurate measurements of the fine structure of the 2 ³P level of helium are in good agreement each with others

They give a test of QED theory of the electron - electron interaction in bound systems

G.W.F. Drake and Z.-C. Yan, Can. J. Phys. <u>86</u>, 45 (2008)

Until recently there were two inconsistent calculations which disagreed with experimental results by ~ 15 kHz and more

Recent calculations up to $\alpha^5 R_{\infty}$ terms finally resolved this discrepancy

K. Pachucki and V.A. Yerokhin, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>104</u>, 070403 (2010)



If the validity of the theory is assumed, experimental fine structure measurements in helium give an independent determination of α

with an uncertainty of 2 x 10^{-8} mainly due to uncalculated high-order QED terms

Simple stable atoms : discussion

Hydrogen :

simplest atom \rightarrow best calculable atom within limits

- QED corrections
- contributions of the proton (not elementary particle)
- "wide" natural line width of nP levels (fine structure)

Helium :

simplest multi electron atom \rightarrow calculable within limits (larger than hydrogen)

- still QED contributions
- but natural line width of nP levels lower compare to hydrogen
- and no hyperfine structure (lower contribution from the nucleus)

Aim of the experiments :

test QED calculation, fine structure constant is used as an input in QED calculations

General outline

Lecture I : less accurate determinations of α

- Quantum Hall effect
- Hydrogen fine and hyperfine structure
- Helium fine structure
- Exotic hydrogen-like atoms

Lecture II : most accurate determinations of α

- ➢ g-2 (lepton)
- ≻ h/m

 \succ contribution of α in new SI

Exotic hydrogen-like atom

Atom made of exotic particles, in our case the "nucleus" of the bound system is a lepton

Lepton : elementary particle, fundamental constituent of the matter \rightarrow no internal structure

Charged lepton : spin 1/2, different masses

- Electron (e-), Positron (e+) (m_e)
- Muon (μ-, μ+) (~207 m_e) (lifetime 2.2 ×10⁻⁶s)
- Tau (τ -, τ +) (~3478 m_e) (lifetime 3×10⁻¹³s)

"Exotic hydrogen" atom :



The positronium e⁺ - e⁻



It is the lightest exotic hydrogen-like atom and a purely leptonic sytem and allows to test relativistic two-body and QED corrections

Electron and positron have same mass, same spin, but opposite charges

Energetic positrons are produced by radioactive sources Positronium can be formed :

- by stopping energetic positrons in a gas or in a powder
- or by charge exchange of slow positrons in a thin foil or a gas target
- or by interaction of slow positrons with the surface of a solid in vacuum

The e+ - e- annihilation is responsible for specific terms in energy levels and limits the lifetimes

Energy levels and lifetimes in positronium



Because of present precision in theory and experiments, the experimental data which can be used to test QED predictions in positronium are :

- the annihilation rates in triplet and singlet ground states
- the n = 1 hyperfine interval
- the n = 2 fine structure interval
- the 1S-2S triplet interval

The hyperfine structure of the ground state of positronium



e⁺ and e⁻ have opposite gyromagnetic ratios so that (+,+) and (-,-) states have null magnetic momentum

Measurement of the hyperfine structure of positronium through the Zeeman splitting



• Quantum oscillation Y. Sasaki and al. (Tokyo) Physics Letters B <u>697</u>, 121 (2011) Result : $\Delta_{hfs} = 203.324$ (39) GHz less precise but could be improved • and also direct THz excitation T. Yamazaki *et al.*, arXiv (5 Apr. 2012) Δ_{mix} is related to Δ_{hfs} by a simple formula

Two approaches :

 Microwave excitation at frequency Δ_{mix} (~ 3 GHz in 0.8 T) followed by an increase of 2γ decay M.R. Ritter *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A <u>30</u>, 1331 (1984)

Result : Δ_{hfs} = 203.389 10 (74) GHz (Yale) (3.9 σ from theory)





Agreement with recent calculated QED corrections in $R_{\infty} \alpha^4 \ln \alpha^{-1}$

Frequency measurement of the $1 {}^{3}S_{1} - 2 {}^{3}S_{1}$ transition of positronium



This transition was measured fort the first time with a pulsed dye laser :

S. Chu, A.P. Mills JR and J.L. Hall, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>52</u>, 1689 (1984) (Stanford) and later with cw excitation :

M.S. Fee, S. Chu et *al.*, Phys. Rev. A <u>48</u>, 192 (1993) Result : 1 233 607 216.4 (3.2) MHz accuracy 2.6 ppb sufficient accuracy to test the $R_{\infty} \alpha^4$ QED corrections Hydrogen 1S-2S : 2 466 061 413 187 035(10) Hz Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 203001 (2011)

Presently another group plans to perform a new experiment in order to improve this accuracy by a factor 5 and then check recent QED calculations

P. Crivelli, C.L. Cesar and U. Gendotti, Can. J. Phys. <u>89</u>, 29 (2011)

(Rio)

The muonium μ^+ - e^-

Like electrons and positrons, muons are leptons, but their mass is ~ 207 times larger



F = 0



Production of muonium :

- high energy proton beam incident on a target (ex. C)
- π⁺ are created
 which decay in μ⁺
- low energy muon beam incident on a gas target (ex. Ar) produces muonium atoms by electron capture

The energy levels of the muonium atom are similar to the ones of hydrogen Ly α transition at 122 nm

RF measurement of the 2S Lamb shift in muonium



Frequency measurement of the 1S-2S transition of muonium



The exp./ theory comparison gives the mass ratio : $m_{\mu^+} / m_{e_-} = 206.768 \ 277 \ 5 \ (24)$ in agreement but less precise than the ratio deduced from the muon magnetic moment

Even using m_{μ}/m_{e} from μ magnetic moment, $\alpha_{\mu e}$ is not competitive

The 1S hyperfine structure of muonium

Experiments have been performed either in low or in high magnetic field



Muonium / positronium : discussion

Positronium :

Lightest exotic hydrogen-like atom Large natural line width limited by e⁺ - e⁻ annihilation (ns) Complicated QED calculations (small mass...)

Muonium :

Natural line width limited by muon lifetime (2.2µs) more sensitive to QED corrections (radius smaller VP more important) Less complicated QED compared to positronium

A good agreement is found for both atoms between experimental results and theoretical predictions but tests in muonium are more stringent

In particular, the study of hyperfine structure of muonium allows to deduce values either of m_{μ^+} / m_{e^-} or the fine structure constant α , using other experimental data and/or theoretical predictions

 $m_{\mu^+} / m_{e^-} = 206.768\ 267\ 0\ (55)$ $u_r = 27\ 10^{-9}$ $1/\alpha = 137.035\ 996\ 3\ (80)$ $u_r = 58\ 10^{-9}$

see discussion in : W. Liu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>82</u>, 711 (1999)

Structureless nucleus atom is a good idea, but the resulting value for the fine structure constant is not competitive (theoretical/experimental limitations)

Lecture I : conclusion

Limitation on the determination of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ from :

- > R_{K} : system of unit ohm : kg·m²·s⁻³·A⁻² ($\Delta \alpha / \alpha \sim 2.10^{-8}$)
- > Atomic fine structure, hyperfine structure : line width, QED, nucleus ($\Delta \alpha / \alpha \sim 3.10^{-7}$)

> Exotic atom : QED, experiments (S/N, line width) ($\Delta \alpha / \alpha \sim 6.10^{-8}$)

Need to simplify the studied (atomic) system to get rid of the structure resulting from the bounding with the other particle but can not get rid of the QED...

 \Rightarrow free electron (elementary particle no structure, ...) : anomaly of the gyro magnetic ratio of the electron (Lecture II)

 \Rightarrow no more bound system ? No hidden but still in the competition, h/M method (lecture II)

Other spectroscopic measurements in helium



Precise frequency measurements of optical energy intervals provide a test of two-electron Lamb shift calculations

Optical transitions from the metastable 2 ${}^{3}S_{1}$ state allow the determination of both the 2 ${}^{3}S$ and 2 ${}^{3}P$ Lamb shifts and the ionization energy

• Absolute frequency measurements of the $2 {}^{3}S_{1}$ - $2 {}^{3}P_{0,1,2}$ transitions at 1083 nm, with a frequency comb, give access to the $2 {}^{3}S$ - $2 {}^{3}P$ and $2 {}^{3}P$ Lamb shifts (Florence)

$$\begin{split} f_0 &= 276\ 764\ 094\ 746.9\ (1.3)\ \text{kHz}\\ f_1 &= 276\ 734\ 477\ 805.0\ (0.9)\ \text{kHz}\\ f_2 &= 276\ 732\ 186\ 818.4\ (1.5)\ \text{kHz} \end{split}$$

P. Cancio Pastor *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>92</u>, 023001 (2004) and <u>97</u>, 1399 03 (2006) and <u>108</u>, 143001 (2012) !

• Measurement of the two-photon $2 {}^{3}S_{1}$ - $3 {}^{3}D_{1}$ transition at 762 nm (Paris) provides the ionization energy of the 2 ${}^{3}S$ state and a precise determination of the 2 ${}^{3}S$ Lamb shift through theoretical calculations on the 3 ${}^{3}D_{1}$ level

f = 786 823 850 002 (56) kHz
C. Dorrer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>78</u>, 3658 (1997)
Theoretical uncertainty of these Lamb shifts : 3000 kHz