



Weak and strong Anderson Localization of ultra-cold atoms: an introduction



Varenna school on Quantum matter at ultralow temperature JULY 11, 2014



Alain Aspect – Institut d'Optique – Palaiseau http://www.lcf.institutoptique.fr/Alain-Aspect-homepage http://www.lcf.institutoptique.fr/atomoptic











Anderson localisation in the Atom Optics group at Institut d'Optique





Experiments (Philippe Bouyer →Bordeaux)

- 1. Vincent Josse, J. Billy, A. Bernard, P. Cheinet, F. Jendrzejewski, K. Müller, J. Richard, V. Volchkov
- 2. Thomas Bourdel, J. P. Brantut, M. Robert dSV, B. Allard, T. Plisson, G. Salomon, L. Fouché and our electronic wizards: A.Villing, F. Moron
- **Theory (Laurent Sanchez Palencia):** M. Piraud, L.Pezze, G. Carleo, S Lellouch, G. Boeris

Collaborations: M Lewenstein, G Shlyapnikov, Thierry Giamarchi, M Holzmann





- 1. Anderson localization: why, what?
- 2. Cold atoms in laser speckle?
- 3. 1D Anderson Localization?
- 4. 3D Anderson Localization?
- 5. Coherent Back Scattering?
- 6. Outlook and open questions

- 1. Anderson localization: why, what? The naïve view of an AMO experimentalist
- 2. Cold atoms in laser speckle?
- 3. 1D Anderson Localization?
- 4. 3D Anderson Localization?
- 5. Coherent Back Scattering?
- 6. Outllook and open questions

Anderson localization: a model for metal/ insulator transition induced by disorder

Classical model of metal: disorder hinders, does not cancel, conduction

- e mean free path
- Classical particles bouncing on impurities
- Matter waves scattering on impurities + incoherent addition
- \Rightarrow delocalized (extended) states: conductor

Anderson L. (1958): disorder can totally cancel conduction

Tight binding model with disorder : \Rightarrow \Rightarrow localized states: insulator

Quantum effect: addition of quantum amplitudes of hopping





Anderson localization: the point of view of an AMO physicist

Coherent addition of waves scattered on impurities. If mean free path ℓ smaller than wavelength:

- coherent addition of trajectories returning to origin
- destructive interference in any direction

⇒ Localized states: insulator *Akkermans&Montambaux, B. Van Tiggelen (Les Houches 1999)*

Main features:

- Interference of many scattered wavelets \Rightarrow localization
- Single particle quantum effect (no interaction)
- Role of dimensionality (probability of return to origin)



 2π

The quest of AL with classical waves

Waves scattering on impurities:

AL not easy to discriminate from ordinary absorption

Microwaves (cm) on dielectric spheres:

• Chabonov et al., Nature 404, 850 (2000)

Light on dielectric microparticles (TiO₂):

- Wiersma et al., Nature 390, 671 (1997)
- Störzer et al., Phys Rev Lett 96, 063904 (2006); Sperling et al. Nature Photonics 7, 48 (2013)

Difficult to obtain $\ell < \lambda / 2 \pi$ (Ioffe-Regel)

Light on 2D or 1D photonic lattices:

- T. Schwartz et al. (M. Segev), Nature 446, 52 (2007)
- Lahini et al. (Silberberg), PRL 100, 013906 (2008).

Acoustic (and seismic) waves:

• RL Weaver ; J.H. Page (coll. Van Tiggelen, Skipetrov); Fink

- 1. Anderson localization: why, what? The naïve view of an AMO experimentalist
- 2. Cold atoms in laser speckle? A well controlled system
- 3. 1D Anderson Localization?
- 4. 3D Anderson Localization?
- 5. Coherent Back Scattering?
- 6. Outllook and open questions

Ultra cold atoms (matter waves) Good candidate to observe AL

Good features

- Controllable dimensionality (1D, 2D, 3D)
- Wavelength λ_{dB} "easily" controllable (1 nm to 10 μ m)
- Pure potentials (no absorption), controllable disorder
- Many observation tools: absorption or fluorescence imaging, time of flight, Bragg spectroscopy, ...

A new feature: interactions between atoms

- A hindrance to observe AL (pure wave effect for single particle)
- New interesting problems, many-body physics (T. Giamarchi, B. Altshuler, S. Skipetrov, D. Shepelyansky...)

Optical dipole potential: a true potential for the atoms Inhomogeneous light field: $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{r})\cos[\omega t - \varphi(\mathbf{r})]$ Induced atomic dipole: $\langle \mathbf{D}_{at}(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}_{at}} = \alpha \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_{at},t)$ Interaction energy: $W = -\overline{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_{at},t)} \langle \mathbf{D}_{at}(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}_{at}} = -\alpha \frac{\left[\mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{r}_{at})\right]^2}{2}$



Far from atomic resonance, α real

- $\alpha < 0$ above resonance: repulsion from F
- $\alpha > 0$ below resonance: attraction to F

Atoms experience a (mechanical) potential proportional to light intensity

$$U_{\rm dip}(\mathbf{r}) = -\alpha I(\mathbf{r})$$

- Attracted towards large intensity regions below resonance
- Repelled out of large intensity regions above resonance
- No dissipation for large enough detuning from resonance

Laser speckle: a well controlled disorder

Blue detuned ($\delta > 0$) light creates a repulsive potential for atoms, proportional to light intensity



Intensity (*i.e.* disordered potential) is NOT Gaussian:

$$P(I) = \frac{1}{\overline{I}} \exp\{-\frac{I}{\overline{I}}\}$$

Laser speckle: well controlled random pattern (Complex electric field = Gaussian random process, central limit theorem)

 $V \propto \frac{I}{\delta} \propto \frac{|\mathbf{E}|^2}{\delta}$

Intensity inherits some properties of underlying Gaussian process Easy to calculate autocorrelation function λL

Speckle grain size $\sigma_{\rm R} \simeq \frac{\lambda L}{\pi D}$

D. Clément et al., New J. Phys. 8, 165 (2006)

- 1. Anderson localization: why, what? The naïve view of an AMO experimentalist
- 2. Cold atoms in laser speckle? A well controlled system
- 3. 1D Anderson Localization? More interesting than expected
- 4. 3D Anderson Localization?
- 5. Coherent Back Scattering?
- 6. Outllook and open questions

1D Anderson localization?

Theorist statement: all states localized in 1D. Why bother?

Abrahams, Anderson, Licciadello, Ramakkrishnan, PRL 1979

Some theorists care: Prigodin and Altshuler, 1989

Experimentalist: Can we observe Anderson-like localization?

Localization in a strong disorder: particle trapped between two large peaks

Classical localization, not Anderson



Localization in weak disorder (numerics/analytics): interference of many scattered wavelets Looks like Anderson localization! Worth testing it



A 1D random potential for 1D guided atoms Atoms tightly confined in x-y plane, free along z: 1D matterwaves



Anisotropic speckle

- elongated along *x*-*y*
- fine along *z*



BEC elongated and guided along z (focused laser, $\delta < 0$) $2R_z^{TF} \approx 300 \,\mu\text{m}$; $2R_{\perp}^{TF} \approx 3 \,\mu\text{m}$ Imaged with resonant light: many
times single atom observation1 D situation for the elongated BEC.Imaged with resonant light: many
times single atom observationMany speckle grains covered (self averaging system = ergodic)

1D situation: invariant transversely to z

Ballistic expansion of a 1D BEC

Cloud of trapped ultracold atoms (dilute BEC) observable on a single shot: N atoms with the same confined wave function.

Release of trapping potential along z: expansion in the 1D atom guide



Initial interaction energy μ_{in} converted into kinetic energy \Rightarrow After a while, interaction free ballistic expansion \Rightarrow Superposition of plane waves with $p \le p_{max} = \sqrt{2M \mu_{in}}$

1D Anderson localization in a weak speckle



Expansion stops. Exponential localization?



1D Anderson localization in a weak speckle



Exponential fit in the wings \Rightarrow Localization length Agreement with (Born 1^{rst} order) calculation Ask a Speckle 1D disorder: effective mobility edget question L Sanchez Palencia et al., PRL 98, 210401 (2007)



Related results in Florence (Inguscio), Austin (Raizen), Lille (Garreau), Hannover (Ertmer), Rice (Hulet) J. Billy et al. Nature 453, 891 (2008)

1D Anderson localisation in a weak speckle disorder: effective mobility edge

In order to observe 1D Anderson localisation in a weak disorder, we had to reduce the atoms momentum below an effective mobility edge

$$p < \frac{h}{\sigma_{\rm R}}$$

 $\sigma_{\rm R}$ = correlation length of the disorder

How to understand it?

1D localization in a weak disorder as Bragg reflection



- Bragg reflection of $p \sim \hbar k/2$ on modulation $\cos kz$
- No propagation at band edge

Disordered potential: many *k* components. Anderson localization: all p components are Bragg reflected. Demands broad spectrum of disordered potential

1D Anderson localization in a weak uncorrelated disorder

Disordered potential with a white spectrum of k vectors



Anderson Loc: all p components Bragg reflected

What happens for a correlated potential (finite spectrum)?

Case of a speckle disorder: cut off in the spatial frequency spectrum



Effective mobility edge

First order calculation (phase formalism)

Lyapunov coefficient $\gamma(p)$

$$) = \frac{1}{L_{\text{loc}}(p)} \propto \hat{c}(2\frac{p}{h}) \Rightarrow \gamma(p) = 0 \text{ for } p > \frac{h}{\sigma_{\text{R}}}$$

- 1. Anderson localization: why, what? The naïve view of an AMO experimentalist
- 2. Cold atoms in laser speckle? A well controlled system
- 3. 1D Anderson Localization? More interesting than expected
- 4. 3D Anderson Localization? A first evidence
- 5. Coherent Back Scattering?
- 6. Outllook and open questions

3D localization of ultra-cold atoms in a laser speckle disordered potential: apparatus

Ultra-cold atoms (BEC), suspended against gravity (mag field gradient) ; released in repulsive disordered potential



F. Jendrzejewski et al., Nature Physics 8, 398 (2012)

Fluorescence integrated along *x*: column density *n*(*y*,*z*)

Disordered optical potential: Two crossed coherent speckle fields, with same polarization:

- Tunable amplitude of disorder $(0 < \overline{V_{dis}} / h < 1.1 \text{kHz})$
- Small correlation lengths in all directions

($\sigma_u = 0.11, 0.27, 0.08 \,\mu\text{m}$), but not isotropic_____

• Low classical percolation threshold $(< 10^{-2} V_{dis})$



3D localization of ultra-cold atoms in a laser speckle disordered potential



- Ultra-cold atoms (BEC, μ_{ini} / h = 40 Hz; T = 1nK) released
- Disordered ramped up rapidly
- Cloud of atoms observed after variable delay



Related experiment in DeMarco's group: Kondov et al., Science 334, 66 (2011); in Inguscio's group (G. Modugno, 2014)

Diffusive and localized components: phenomenological analysis



Column density taken as sum of: ⇒ localized peak, replica of initial profile ⇒ diffusing component

 $\tilde{n}_D(0,0,t)$ decays as $1/t \Rightarrow$ localized fraction

Mean squared radii grow linearly with time: \Rightarrow determination of the diffusion coefficients



Theoretical analysis

Sudden application of the (strong) disorder
⇒ wide energy distribution of the atoms.
Results of calculation (self-consistent theory of localization)
⇒ Always a fraction of the atoms above mobility edge
⇒ Localization lengths too small to be observed (⇒ localized part a replica of the initial distribution)

Theory (one adjustable parameter, partly understood): solid curves





Localized fraction

Diffusion coefficients

M. Piraud, L. Pezzé, L. Sanchez-Palencia, EuroPhys. Lett. (2012) Matterwave transport and AL in anisotropic 3D disorder

Localization of ultra cold atoms in 3D disordered potential: summary

- Direct observation of localized and diffusing components
- Phenomenological measurements (not theory dependent) of localized fraction and diffusion coefficients.

Localization cannot be explained

- by classical trapping below the classical percolation threshold
- by quantum trapping in local minima of the disorder

Fairly convincing theoretical description of the observations

Hard to conclude that it is not Anderson Localization

Localization of ultra cold atoms in 3D disordered potential: summary

- Direct observation of localized and diffusing components
- Phenomenological measurements (not theory dependent) of localized fraction and diffusion coefficients.

Localization cannot be explained

- by classical trapping below the classical percolation threshold
- by quantum trapping in local minima of the disorder

Convincing theoretical description of the observations

Hard to conclude that it is not Anderson Localization

Still missing: a smoking gun of the role of coherence in the observed localisation

- 1. Anderson localization: why, what? The naïve view of an AMO experimentalist
- 2. Cold atoms in laser speckle? A well controlled system
- 3. 1D Anderson Localization? More interesting than expected
- 4. 3D Anderson Localization? A first evidence
- 5. Coherent Back Scattering? A smoking gun of coherence
- 6. Outllook and open questions

Ultra cold atoms with well defined momentum launched in 2D disorder

Atoms launched with a momentum **p**_i in a quasi 2D speckle* (elongated) Narrow momentum

distribution :

• Harmonic kick on expanding BEC→ stopped atoms

• Magnetic kick



Time of flight \Rightarrow initial velocities distribution: $V_i = 3.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm} / \text{ s}$



F. Jendrzejewski et al., PRL 109, 195302 (2012)

* Theoretical proposal: Cherroret et al., PRA85, 01604 (2012)

Evolution of momentum distribution in the disordered potential

Atoms launched in 2D disorder with well defined momentum **p**_i



F. Jendrzejewski et al., PRL 109, 195302 (2012)

Momentum distribution after diffusion time *t*

• Elastic scattering ring: determination of scattering and transport times

• Coherent Back Scattering peak

0.02 0.02

Related results G. Labeyrie et al., EPL 100, 66001 (2012)

Atomic Coherent Back Scattering as an evidence of coherence

Atoms launched with \mathbf{p}_i Momentum distribution after diffusion time t



• Elastic scattering ring: determination of scattering and transport times

• Coherent Back Scattering peak

CBS peak: interference between counter propating multiple scattering paths for $\mathbf{p}_{f} = -\mathbf{p}_{i}$

Width decreases as $R^{-1} = (2Dt)^{-1/2}$



F. Jendrzejewski et al., PRL 109, 195302 (2012)

Peak width evolution agrees with model (no adjust. parameter)

Time resolved observation of atomic CBS

Evolution of CBS peak contrast C and width $\Delta \theta$, from short times to long times regime

Cross over

- from short times regime, where single scattering dominates (no CBS)
- to long times regime where multiple scattering dominates (⇒ pure CBS)



Excellent agreement, without adjustable parameter: direct evidence of the role of coherence in quantum transport

- 1. Anderson localization: why, what? The naïve view of an AMO experimentalist
- 2. Cold atoms in laser speckle? A well controlled system
- 3. 1D Anderson Localization? More interesting than expected
- 4. 3D Anderson Localization? A first evidence
- 5. Coherent Back Scattering? A smoking gun of coherence
- 6. Outllook and open questions A lot more to come!

Outlook and questions

3D AL • measure the exact value of the mobility edge

- measure the localization lengths vs energy
- measure critical exponents

Demands a better conrol of the atom energy in disorder. Adiabatic ramping of the disorder? Energy selective loading?

2D: AL will not be easy to observe, interesting results already obtained (PRL 104 220602, NJP 13 095015; PRA 84 061606, 85 033602)

Add controlled interactions: a big challenge for theorists

More evidence of role of coherence in quantum transport: Scrambling disorder? Artificial gauge fields?

A quantum simulator?

Outlook and questions

3D AL • measure the exact value of the mobility edge

- measure the localization lengths vs energy
- measure critical exponents

Demands a better conrol of the atom energy in disorder. Adiabatic ramping of the disorder? Energy selective loading?

2D: AL will not be easy to observe, interesting results already obtained (PRL 104 220602, NJP 13 095015; PRA 84 061606, 85 033602)

Add controlled interactions: a big challenge for theorists

More evidence of role of coherence in quantum transport: Scrambling disorder? Artificial gauge fields?

> Many challenges for theorists: genuine quantum theorists stimulator

- 1. Anderson localization: the naïve view of an AMO experimentalist: single particle interference effect
- 2. Cold atoms in laser speckle? A well controlled system
- 3. 1D Anderson Localization? More interesting than expected
- 4. 3D Anderson Localization? A first evidence
- 5. Coherent Back Scattering? Evidence for coherence
- 6. Outllook: more to come, many open questions







What happens beyond the effective 1D mobility edge?

Calculations (P. Lugan, L. Sanchez-Palencia) beyond the Born approximation (4th order) (agreement with numerics, D. Delande, and diagrams, C. Müller)

Lyapunov coefficient γ not exactly zero but crossover to a much smaller value at effective mobility edge Sharper crossover for weaker disorder

Effective transition in a finite size system

* analogous results by E. Gurevich, 0901.3135

Pierre Lugan et al. PRA 80, 023605 (2009)



Optical dipole potential

Inhomogeneous light field: $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{r})\cos[\omega t - \varphi(\mathbf{r})]$

Induced atomic dipole: $\langle \mathbf{D}_{at}(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}_{at}} = \alpha \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_{at}, t)$



Far from atomic resonance, α real

- $\alpha < 0$ above resonance
- $\alpha > 0$ below resonance

Interaction energy:
$$W = -\overline{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_{at}, t) \langle \mathbf{D}_{at}(t) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}_{at}}} = -\alpha \frac{\left[\mathbf{E}_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{at})\right]^{2}}{2}$$

Atoms experience a (mechanical) potential proportional to light intensity

$$U_{\rm dip}(\mathbf{r}) = -\alpha I(\mathbf{r})$$

-2

- Attracted towards large intensity regions below resonance
- Repelled out of large intensity regions above resonance