## (Quantum) Mixtures @ Synthetic Quantum Systems/Heidelberg University

# 

SynQS Markus Oberthaler

taken by Lisi Niesnei 📀 REUTERS

## Mixtures

#### phase separation - immiscible



#### coexistence - miscible



# **Coherent Mixtures**



phase separation - immiscible



coexistence - miscible



# Coherent mixtures with increasing complexity



pseudo spin ½

one dimensional

miscible to immiscible transition via linear coupling ,critical' scaling after a quench towards a quantum critical point

quantum features in zero dimensional situation (no motion) introduce collective spin dynamics squeezing and quantum bifurcation



spin 1

non-local entanglement EPR correlation from 0d to 1d

one dimensional POVM readout universal dynamics topological excitations such as solitons



#### Vacuum since 2000, with one day break

### **Experimental details**





The system Two mixtures with special properties |2 > |2 > 1> 1> magic field: 3.23 G Feshbach resonance: 9.09 G but 20mG width 2000 Fotal atom number (x1000) 240 1000 -4470 200 -4480 (ZH) -1000 -2000 -3000 -4490 160 -4500 3.2 3.4 120 80 -4000 9.00 9.05 9.10 9.15 9.20 9.25 9.30 Magnetic field B(G) -5000 1 2 3 4 5 6 0 PRL 92, 160406 (2004) Magnetic Field (Gauss) PRA 66, 053616 (2002) PRA 69, 032705 (2004)



$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_2 = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V + g_{22}|\psi_2|^2 + g_{12}|\psi_1|^2\right]\psi_2$$



VOLUME 81, NUMBER 26 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

28 DECEMBER 1998

**Phase Separation of Bose-Einstein Condensates** 

E. Timmermans\*

Institute for Atomic and Molecular Physics, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 (Received 26 August 1997; revised manuscript received 10 September 1998)



$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{1} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\nabla^{2} + V + g_{11}|\psi_{1}|^{2} + g_{12}|\psi_{2}|^{2}\right]\psi_{1}$$
$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{2} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\nabla^{2} + V + g_{22}|\psi_{2}|^{2} + g_{12}|\psi_{1}|^{2}\right]\psi_{2}$$

time averaged experiment – stationary theory solution --



Losses are well understood and have to be taken into account.





## **Experimental details**

Is a magnetic field stability @ 9.1G an issue for a standard setup?



4 mG/V

Ref 2

-10..10V 20 bit

Filter

1 G/V

....................................

102.3 steps/G

-)

Ref 1

0..10V

10 bit

x250

Temperature stabilized



## **Experimental details**

Is a magnetic field stability @ 9.1G an issue for a standard setup?



NO



Limited by temperature dependence of flux-gate sensor: 300µG = 75mK change









**Rubidium BEC** 

F=2





x (µm)











Rubidium BEC

What happens if linear coupling is added?

F=2

$$\hat{H}_{\rm cpl} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}x \, \left[ \hbar \tilde{\Omega} \hat{\Psi}_1^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi}_2 + \hbar \tilde{\Omega}^* \hat{\Psi}_2^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi}_1 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \hbar \delta \int \mathrm{d}x \, \left[ \hat{\Psi}_2^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi}_2 - \hat{\Psi}_1^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi}_1 \right]$$



Bogoliubov theory for mutually coherent condensates Paolo Tommasini et al. PRA 67, 023606 (2003) A study of coherently coupled two-component Bose-Einstein condensates M. Abad and A. Recati, Eur. Phys. J D 67, 148 (2013)







E. Nicklas PRL 107, 193001, 2001



$$\begin{split} -\frac{1}{2}\hbar \begin{pmatrix} \delta & \tilde{\Omega} \\ \tilde{\Omega}^* & -\delta \end{pmatrix} &= -\frac{1}{2}\hbar \begin{pmatrix} \delta & \Omega e^{i\varphi} \\ \Omega e^{-i\varphi} & -\delta \end{pmatrix} \\ \hline \begin{bmatrix} \text{stepson stepson stepso$$

$$\langle t(t) \rangle = \cos(\Omega/2t)|1\rangle + \sin(\Omega/2t)|2\rangle$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e^{-i\Omega/2t} |+\rangle + e^{+i\Omega/2t} |-\rangle \right)$ 



Dressed State Density



Dressed states reconstructed: miscibility <-> immiscibility



θ

| heta, arphi 
angle





PRL 107, 193001 (2011)

PHYSICAL REVIEW A 68, 053607 (2003)

 $\Omega$  is the dominating energy scale

#### Dynamic stability of dressed condensate mixtures

Stewart D. Jenkins<sup>\*,‡</sup> and T. A. B. Kennedy<sup>†,‡</sup> School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0430, USA (Received 10 July 2003; published 17 November 2003)

See also: C.P. Search, P.R. Berman PRA 63, 043612 (2001)

$$a_{++} = a_{--} = \frac{1}{4}(a_{11} + a_{22} + 2a_{12})$$
$$a_{+-} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{11} + a_{22})$$

Miscibility of dressed states:

 $a_{+-}^2 < a_{++}a_{--}$ 

implies immiscibility of bare states  $a_{11} \sim a_{22}$ :

$$a_{12}^2 > a_{11}a_{22}$$











## $\langle J_z(x) J_z(x') \rangle = \langle \Delta n(x) \Delta n(x') \rangle$

with 1 $\mu$ m spatial resolution !!! ~5 $\mu$ m spin healing length for  $\Omega$ =0







#### Scaling of correlation functions PRL 115, 245301 (2015)

Bogoliubov pediction by I. Bouchoule



Markus Oberthaler







![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

y [µm]

y [µm]

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

# SUMMARY

Ultracold gas systems simulate classical non-linear coupled equations with high precision

Two component interacting gases + linear coupling additional experimentally accessible controll

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

Many new developments:

Trento Group – Lectures by Giacomo Lamporesi Bourdel Group – Poster 17, tomorrow – Alfred Hammond Tarruell Group – Poster 9, yesterday – Craig Chisholm

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

# SUMMARY

Ultracold gas systems simulate classical non-linear coupled equations with high precision

Two component interacting gases + linear coupling additional experimentally accessible controll

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

Many new developments:

Trento Group – Lectures by Giacomo Lamporesi Bourdel Group – Poster 17, tomorrow – Alfred Hammond Tarruell Group – Poster 9, yesterday – Craig Chisholm

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

# $J_x = 2\rho^{-1}\sqrt{\rho_{\downarrow}\rho_{\uparrow}}\cos\varphi$ $J_y = 2\rho^{-1}\sqrt{\rho_{\downarrow}\rho_{\uparrow}}\sin\varphi$ $J_z = \rho^{-1}(\rho_{\downarrow} - \rho_{\uparrow})$

 $\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \varphi_{\downarrow} - \varphi_{\uparrow} \\ \phi_j &= \sqrt{\rho_j} \exp\left(\mathrm{i}\varphi_j\right) \end{aligned}$ 

#### Ginzburg criterium

$$H_{0} = \sum_{i=\downarrow,\uparrow} \int dy \, \Phi_{j}^{\dagger} \Big[ -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \partial_{y}^{2} + V(y) \Big] \Phi_{j},$$
  

$$H_{cpl} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \int dy \Big[ \Omega \Big( \Phi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \Phi_{\uparrow} + h.c. \Big) + \delta \Big( \Phi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \Phi_{\downarrow} - \Phi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} \Phi_{\uparrow} \Big) \Big],$$
  

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=\downarrow,\uparrow} g_{ij} \int dy \, \Phi_{i}^{\dagger} \Phi_{i} \Phi_{j}^{\dagger} \Phi_{j}.$$

Rewritten in spin operators

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int dy \left\{ m^{-1} (\partial_y \sqrt{\rho})^2 + \frac{\rho}{4m} |\partial_y \mathbf{J}|^2 + \rho (V + m v_{\text{eff}}^2) + g \rho^2 + \frac{g \rho^2}{2} (\alpha - 1) \left[ 1 - (J_z)^2 \right] + \Omega \rho J_x + \delta \rho J_z \right\}.$$

Assuming constant density

$$\mathcal{H} = \rho \left[ |\partial_y \mathbf{J}|^2 / 4 + v_{\text{eff}}^2 + \Omega J_x - \Omega_c J_z^2 / 2 \right] / 2$$

#### Ginzburg criterium

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure III.6:** The figure illustrates the energetic configuration before and after the quench at the example of a quench to  $\varepsilon = 0.001$ , which leads to a thermal post-quench quasi-particle occupation. The infrared parts of the pre-quench energy spectrum (dashed black line),  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , which is identical to the pre-quench vacuum energy spectrum, the post-quench energy spectrum (solid black line),  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , the post-quench vacuum energy spectrum (solid green line), and, finally, the resulting mode temperatures (solid blue line). Details are discussed in the main text.

### Finite time quenches

$$\xi(\hat{t}) = \xi_0 (\tau_Q / \tau_0)^{\nu/(1 + \nu z)}$$

$$\tau_Q = 1ms$$

$$\tau_Q = 5ms$$

$$\tau_Q = 10ms$$

$$\tau_Q = 30ms$$