



# The quantum impurity problem & beyond

Meera Parish

Monash University (Melbourne)



### Previous Lecture

- Fermi polarons are fundamental to a range of different systems
- The concept of the polaron quasiparticle
- Polaron-molecule transition and how it relates to quantum phase transitions
- Beyond the single polaron



### This Lecture:

- Energy spectrum and dynamics
- Role of temperature
- Nature of the repulsive polaron

# Quantum dynamics



t = 0

*Non-interacting* impurity immersed in a Fermi gas

# Quantum dynamics

 $\langle \Psi(0) | \Psi(t) \rangle = ?$ 



t > 0

Strongly interacting impurity immersed in a Fermi gas

# Quantum dynamics

 $\langle \Psi(0) | \Psi(t) \rangle = ?$ 

*Strongly interacting* impurity immersed in a Fermi gas

- Cold atoms can probe "ultrafast" for dynamics of Fermi systems  $t \lesssim \hbar/\varepsilon_F$
- Low energies compared to solid state





 $\sim$ 100 attoseconds

microseconds

t > 0

# Ramsey interferometry

• E.g., <sup>40</sup>K impurities in a <sup>6</sup>Li Fermi gas

 $^{40}$ K  $|2\rangle$  → non-interacting  $^{40}$ K  $|3\rangle$  → tunable interactions



• Measurement of spin populations gives:  $\frac{N_3 - N_2}{N_3 + N_2} = -\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i\varphi_{\mathrm{rf}}}S(t)\right]$ 

where  $S(t) = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{\text{int}}(t) \rangle$ 



M. Cetina et al., Science 354, 96 (2016)

# Dynamics and energy spectrum

• Ramsey response (overlap):

$$S(t) = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{\text{int}}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

with non-interacting state  $|\psi_0
angle=\hat{c}_0^\dagger|\mathrm{FS}
angle$ 

• Expansion in eigenstates:

$$\int \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial t} = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_0(t)$$

 $\mathbf{\nabla}$ 

 $=\sum_{j} |\alpha_{0,j}|^2 \delta(\omega - E_j)$ S(t) = S<sup>\*</sup>(-t)

$$\begin{array}{c} -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline & & & & \\ 0.5 \\ \bullet & & \\ Ramsey response (pverlap): \\ 0 & & \\ \hline & & \\ S(t) = \langle \Psi_0(t) | \Psi_{int}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t} | \hbar | \psi_0 \rangle \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \hline & & \\ \hline \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline & & \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline & &$$

U

 $\mathbf{U}$ 

$$A(\omega) = \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\pi} e^{i\omega t} S(t)$$
$$= \sum_j |\alpha_{0,j}|^2 \delta(\omega - E_j)$$

# Energy spectrum



- Two quasiparticle branches
  - Attractive polaron  $a \rightarrow 0^-$
  - Repulsive polaron  $a \to 0^+$

• Alternative plot (*a* > 0 or 2D):



# Coherent dynamics $S(t) = |S(t)|e^{-i\phi(t)}$

 Polaron interference when there are two well-defined branches



Short-time dynamics

$$S(t) = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi_0 \rangle \simeq 1 - \frac{it}{\hbar} \langle \psi_0 | \hat{H} | \psi_0 \rangle$$
$$- \frac{t^2}{\hbar^2} \langle \psi_0 | \hat{H}^2 | \psi_0 \rangle + \dots$$

• *Non-analytic* behaviour due to shortrange interactions

$$S(t) \simeq 1 - \frac{8e^{-i\pi/4}(m/m_r)^{3/2}}{9\pi^{3/2}} \left(\frac{t}{\tau_F}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

Parish & Levinsen, PRB 94, 184303 (2016)

# Ramsey response (Li-K)

 $\rightarrow$  Experiment captured by single excitation + temperature



Cetina et al., Science 354, 96 (2016) Liu, Levinsen & Parish, PRL 122, 205301 (2019)

# Probing the spectrum

• Rf spectroscopy



- rf pulse drives transition between noninteracting & interacting spin states
- Transfer rate gives impurity spectral function (momentum-averaged)

# $\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ + \\ -0.5 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ (k_Fa) \end{array}$

### **Ejection spectrum @ unitarity**

Yan et al., PRL 2019

Injection spectrum Kohstall et al., Nature 2012



# Probing the spectrum

• Rf spectroscopy



• rf pulse drives transition between noninteracting & interacting spin states

$$I_{\rm ej}(\omega) = e^{\beta \omega} e^{\beta \Delta F} I_{\rm inj}(-\omega)$$

Liu, Shi, Levinsen, & Parish, PRL 2020; Liu, Shi, Parish, & Levinsen, PRA 2020

### **Injection spectrum**

Kohstall et al., Nature 2012



### **Ejection spectrum @ unitarity**

Yan et al., PRL 2019



# Repulsive polaron branch

• Metastable excited quasiparticle





Does the decay into lower energy states determine the quasiparticle lifetime?

# Repulsive polaron branch

• Extracted width of polaron peak



NO decay into lower branch...

Adlong, Liu, Scazza, Zaccanti, Oppong, Fölling, Parish, & Levinsen, PRL 2020

# Repulsive polaron lifetime

Dominated by "many-body dephasing"



Adlong, Liu, Scazza, Zaccanti, Oppong, Fölling, Parish, & Levinsen, PRL 2020



Dominated by "many-body dephasing"



## Lecture summary

- Cold-atom experiments can probe ultrafast coherent dynamics of fermionic systems
  - Universal short-time dynamics
  - Interference between branches
- Relationship between injection and ejection rf spectra at non-zero T
- Repulsive polaron lifetime dominated by many-body dephasing

### **Acknowledgements**

### Monash

- Jesper Levinsen
- Weizhe Liu
- Haydn Adlong
- Zhe-Yu Shi (Shanghai)

### Experiment

- Rudi Grimm (Innsbruck)
- Francesco Scazza (Trieste)
- Matteo Zaccanti (LENS)
- Nelson Darkwah Oppong (LMU)
- Simon Fölling (LMU)