Mass imbalanced Fermi mixtures with resonant interactions

Matteo Zaccanti CNR-INO & LENS zaccanti@lens.unifi.it







FRAMEWORK PER L'ATTRAZIONE E IL RAFFORZAMENTO DELLE ECCELLENZE PER LA RICERCA IN ITALIA



Mass-imbalanced Fermi mixtures: why?



Different optical properties

Species selective control



Individual trapping motion tunneling



Mixed-D: novel quantum phases (see e.g. Y. Nishida and F. Minardi/G. Lamporesi's works)

Mass-imbalanced Fermi mixtures: why?



Mass-imbalanced Fermi mixtures: why?



• 2-body scattering: recall of some results

Landau&Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory (Chapters 17-18) Castin Lectures in Ultracold Fermi Gases (2007) Chin et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010) Petrov, The few atom problem, arxiv:1206.5752v2



Courtesy: The Scientific Secretary

• 2-body scattering: recall of some results

Landau&Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory (Chapters 17-18) Castin Lectures in Ultracold Fermi Gases (2007) Chin et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010) Petrov, The few atom problem, arxiv:1206.5752v2

Courtesy: The Scientific Secretary

• From 2 to 3 fermion systems

Petrov, The few atom problem, arxiv:1206.5752v2 Levinsen&Petrov, EPJD **65**, 67 (2011) M. Jag *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 075302 (2014)

• 2-body scattering: recall of some results

Landau&Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory (Chapters 17-18) Castin Lectures in Ultracold Fermi Gases (2007) Chin et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010) Petrov, The few atom problem, arxiv:1206.5752v2

• From 2 to 3 fermion systems

Petrov, The few atom problem, arxiv:1206.5752v2 Levinsen&Petrov, EPJD **65**, 67 (2011) M. Jag *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 075302 (2014)

• The special case of ⁶Li-⁵³Cr

Neri et al, PRA 101, 063602 (2020) Ciamei et al, arXiv:2203.12965 (Accepted in Phys. Rev. Lett.) Ciamei et al, arXiv:2207.07579

Courtesy: The Scientific Secretary

General problem: 2-body collision



General problem: 2-body collision



All infos encoded in the scattering amplitude:



If V(r)=V(r), ψ has axial symmetry, and can be expanded in partial waves

After some algebra... the scattering amplitude reads:



If V(r)=V(r), ψ has axial symmetry, and can be expanded in partial waves

After some algebra... the scattering amplitude reads:



✓ From phase shifts get the scattering cross section



If V(r)=V(r), ψ has axial symmetry, and can be expanded in partial waves

After some algebra... the scattering amplitude reads:



✓ From phase shifts get the scattering cross section



 \checkmark For each partial wave channel the maximum cross section is:



Useful to take in mind (details on L&L Ch. 49 and 123):

✓ (In 3D) if V(r) faster than $1/r^3$:



for $k \rightarrow 0$ and all / values

V(r) short/long range if decays faster/slower than 1/r³

- Long range: all *I*-waves contribute as $k \rightarrow 0$
- Short range: only f₀≠0 as k→0

28+1

k

Useful to take in mind (details on L&L Ch. 49 and 123):

✓ (In 3D) if V(r) faster than $1/r^3$:



for $k \rightarrow 0$ and all / values

V(r) short/long range if decays faster/slower than 1/r³

 $(2l+1).k^{2l}$

- Long range: all *I*-waves contribute as $k \rightarrow 0$
- Short range: only f₀≠0 as k→0
- ✓ For short-ranged V(r), only need <u>s-wave</u> as k→0



✓ For k→0 one can further approximate $f_0(k)$ as:



a= scattering length *R*^{*}= effective range parameter

✓ For k→0 one can further approximate $f_0(k)$ as:



a= scattering length *R*^{*}= effective range parameter

• The problem reduces to the knowledge of two constants

✓ For k→0 one can further approximate $f_0(k)$ as:



a= scattering length *R*^{*}= effective range parameter

- The problem reduces to the knowledge of two constants
- Any potential yielding the same a and R^{*} can be employed to describe the low-k scattering from the real V(r)

✓ In particular, can employ a zero-range pseudo-potential V(r) I: inner (short-range) region II: outer (free) region **Excellent** approximation as r long as **kr_e«1** rψ(r)



Bethe-Peierls boundary conditions (see Y. Castin in Ultracold Fermi Gases 2007)

✓ Low-k scattering amplitude and Breit-Wigner resonance



✓ Low-k scattering amplitude and Breit-Wigner resonance



✓ Low-k scattering amplitude and Breit-Wigner resonance



a linked to the energy of a real (or virtual) bound state $E_{res} \sim 0. R^* > 0$ depends only on coupling amplitude γ











✓ Bound state: the Feshbach dimer



and search the pole of the scattering amplitude





✓ Bound state: the Feshbach dimer



and search the pole of the scattering amplitude





Broad resonance



✓ Bound state: the Feshbach dimer



and search the pole of the scattering amplitude





Broad resonance



>>1

Narrow resonance



R*

1

Va





✓ Bound state: the Feshbach dimer



and search the pole of the scattering amplitude

Eb





Broad resonance





From 2- to 3-body systems



J. Levinsen & D. Petrov, EPJD 65, 67 (2011); D. Petrov, <u>arXiv:1206.5752</u>



J. Levinsen & D. Petrov, EPJD **65**, 67 (2011); D. Petrov, <u>arXiv:1206.5752</u>



 \checkmark only 2 eigenstates : $\psi_{R\pm}(r)$ $\{C_1, C_2\} = \{\mathbf{1}, \pm \mathbf{1}\}$

J. Levinsen & D. Petrov, EPJD 65, 67 (2011); D. Petrov, <u>arXiv:1206.5752</u>



✓ only 2 eigenstates : $\psi_{R\pm}(r)$ {*C*₁, *C*₂} = {**1**, ±**1**}

 \checkmark eigenenergies given by:

$$\kappa_{\pm}(R) \mp \frac{e^{-\kappa_{\pm}(R)R}}{R} = \frac{1}{a} - R^* \kappa_{\pm}(R)^2$$

J. Levinsen & D. Petrov, EPJD 65, 67 (2011); D. Petrov, arXiv:1206.5752
Born Oppenheimer approximation

✓ effective potentials for heavy particles (e.g. $R^*=0$)

$$U_{\pm}(R) = -\frac{\hbar^2 \kappa_{\pm}^2(R)}{2m} - |\varepsilon_b|$$



J. Levinsen & D. Petrov, EPJD 65, 67 (2011); D. Petrov, arXiv:1206.5752











Kartavstsev&Malykh, J. Phys. B 40, 1429 (2007); Levinsen&Petrov, EPJD 65, 67 (2011)











For K-Li case: see M. Jag *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 075302 (2014).

M-M-m Fermi systems: the K-K-Li example

M_K/m_{Li}=6.64 (no real trimer!)

✓ Atom-dimer potential is long ranged: all δ_l contribute at ultralow temperatures !

$$f(0) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \left[\frac{\sin 2\delta_l(k_{\text{coll}})}{2k_{\text{coll}}} + i \frac{\sin^2 \delta_l(k_{\text{coll}})}{k_{\text{coll}}} \right]$$



M-M-m Fermi systems: the K-K-Li example

M_{K}/m_{Li} =6.64 (no real trimer!)

✓ Atom-dimer potential is long ranged: all δ_l contribute at ultralow temperatures !

$$f(0) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \left[\frac{\sin 2\delta_l(k_{\text{coll}})}{2k_{\text{coll}}} + i \frac{\sin^2 \delta_l(k_{\text{coll}})}{k_{\text{coll}}} \right]$$



✓ Strong dependence of K-KLi interaction on E_{coll} and R^*/a



Levinsen&Petrov, EPJD 65, 67 (2011)

M-M-m Fermi systems: the K-K-Li example

M_{K}/m_{Li} =6.64 (no real trimer!)

✓ Atom-dimer potential is long ranged: all δ_l contribute at ultralow temperatures !

$$f(0) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \left[\frac{\sin 2\delta_l(k_{\text{coll}})}{2k_{\text{coll}}} + i \frac{\sin^2 \delta_l(k_{\text{coll}})}{k_{\text{coll}}} \right]$$



✓ Strong dependence of K-KLi interaction on E_{coll} and R^*/a



Levinsen&Petrov, EPJD 65, 67 (2011)

M. Jag et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 075302 (2014).

The special case of ⁶Li-⁵³Cr





- ✓ M_{Cr}/m_{Li} about 7% higher than the critical value for a real (fermionic) trimer to exist
- ✓ M_{Cr}/m_{Li} <1% away from critical value for the emergence of a (bosonic) tetramer

R*/a: same role of a decreased M/m !



D. Petrov, Private Communication (2014).

R*/a sets trimer energy detuning from A-D threshold

R*/a sets trimer energy detuning from A-D threshold



Since *a=a(B)*, also *R^{*}/a=R^{*}/a(B)* magnetic tuning of 3-body interactions on top of 2-body ones!

R*/a sets trimer energy detuning from A-D threshold



Since *a=a(B)*, also *R^{*}/a=R^{*}/a(B)* magnetic tuning of 3-body interactions on top of 2-body ones!

Potential barrier at small distances: **elastic** *p*-wave Cr-CrLi resonant interaction!

3-body interaction for exotic superfluids



3-body interaction for exotic superfluids



✓ Novel normal phase: trimer Fermi gas!



3-body interaction for exotic superfluids



✓ New SF states: **tetramer BEC, induced** *p*-wave pairing



The special case of ⁵³Cr-⁶Li



Not a bi-alkali mixture!



S_m

The special case of ⁵³Cr-⁶Li



The special case of ⁵³Cr-⁶Li



PRL 88, 067901 (2002); Chem. Rev. 112, 5012 (2012); Nat. Phys. 13, 13 (2017); PRX 10, 041005 (2020)

<u>Challenge</u>: combine a widely explored alkali atom...



6

MIT, Paris, Innsbruck, Zurich, Swinburne, Florence, Harvard, Hamburg, ...

Challenge: combine a widely explored alkali atom...





... with an almost unexplored transition metal element





Challenge: combine a widely explored alkali atom...



MIT, Paris, Innsbruck, Zurich, Swinburne, Florence, Harvard, Hamburg, ...

... with an almost unexplored transition metal element





E. Neri et al, PRA 101, 063602 (2020); A. Ciamei et al, arXiv:2207.07579

Surprise #1

Large Cr & Li samples in a 2-species MOT

After 5 + 2 seconds loading: $\sim 10^9 \text{ Li} + 10^8 \text{ Cr} @300 \, \mu\text{K}$

(all six repumpers employed, MOT beams with very low I/I_{sat}) **X400** gain compared to previous studies of the Paris group No bad effect of Li on Cr MOT, and vice-versa



Surprise #1

Large Cr & Li samples in a 2-species MOT

After 5 + 2 seconds loading: $\sim 10^9 \text{ Li} + 10^8 \text{ Cr} @300 \, \mu\text{K}$

(all six repumpers employed, MOT beams with very low I/I_{sat}) **X400** gain compared to previous studies of the Paris group No bad effect of Li on Cr MOT, and vice-versa

Surprise #2

Efficient loading in bichromatic trap possible

ODT loading: ~10⁷ Li + 3x10⁶ Cr @250 μK IR light bad for loading (light shift). Exploit 532nm light as dark-spot! X100 gain compared to previous studies

50% in Cr|1>+ 35% in Cr|2>+ 15% in Cr|3>



Surprise #1

Large Cr & Li samples in a 2-species MOT

After 5 + 2 seconds loading: $\sim 10^9 \text{ Li} + 10^8 \text{ Cr} @300 \, \mu\text{K}$

(all six repumpers employed, MOT beams with very low I/I_{sat}) **X400** gain compared to previous studies of the Paris group No bad effect of Li on Cr MOT, and vice-versa

Surprise #2

Efficient loading in bichromatic trap possible

ODT loading: ~10⁷ Li + 3x10⁶ Cr @250 μK IR light bad for loading (light shift). Exploit 532nm light as dark-spot! X100 gain compared to previous studies

Cr-Li works even better than K-Li !!!

50% in Cr | 1>+

35% in Cr | 2>+

15% in Cr | 3



Same strategy of Li-K Innsbruck experiment^{*}: All-optical route

Evaporative cooling of a Li |1>-Li |2> mixture near a broad Feshbach resonance @830G Sympathetic cooling of Chromium in its ground state via interspecies collisions

Same strategy of Li-K Innsbruck experiment^{*}: All-optical route

Evaporative cooling of a Li |1>-Li |2> mixture near a broad Feshbach resonance @830G Sympathetic cooling of Chromium in its ground state via interspecies collisions ???
Same strategy of Li-K Innsbruck experiment^{*}: All-optical route

Evaporative cooling of a Li $|1\rangle$ -Li $|2\rangle$ mixture near a broad Feshbach resonance @830G Sympathetic cooling of Chromium in its ground state via interspecies collisions ???

Surprise #3

Symp. cooling works! Quantum degeneracy reached!

Within 5 seconds evaporation: $\sim 3.5 \ 10^5 \ Li + 10^5 \ Cr @ <200 \ nK!$ (T/T_{F,Li} =0.2 & T/T_{F,Cr} =0.5)



Concretion and an and the start west



With Li

*Phys. Rev. A **81**, 043637 (2010)

Same strategy of Li-K Innsbruck experiment^{*}: All-optical route

Evaporative cooling of a Li $|1\rangle$ -Li $|2\rangle$ mixture near a broad Feshbach resonance @830G Sympathetic cooling of Chromium in its ground state via interspecies collisions ???

Surprise #3

Symp. cooling works! Quantum degeneracy reached!

Within 5 seconds evaporation: $\sim 3.5 \ 10^5 \ Li + 10^5 \ Cr @ <200 \ nK!$ (T/T_{F,Li} =0.2 & T/T_{F,Cr} =0.5)

Without Li

Concisional land the state of the state of the

With Li



Favorable Cr-Li scattering properties!!!

Thermalization measurements yield estimate $|a_{CrLi}|=55(15) a_0$

*Phys. Rev. A **81**, 043637 (2010)

Latest tool: a bichromatic crossed trap



~3.5 10⁵ Li @ T/T_{F,Li} =0.2 ~ 10⁵ Cr @ T/T_{F,Cr} =0.5

Ciamei et al, arXiv:2207.07579

Latest tool: a bichromatic crossed trap



~3.5 10⁵ Li @ T/T_{F,Li} =0.2 ~ 10⁵ Cr @ T/T_{F,Cr} =0.5



- ✓ No change in trap depth
- ✓ Individual compression/decompression
- ✓ Density matching of the two clouds

Ciamei et al, arXiv:2207.07579

Latest tool: a bichromatic crossed trap



~3.5 10⁵ Li @ T/T_{F,Li} =0.2 ~ 10⁵ Cr @ T/T_{F,Cr} =0.5



- ✓ No change in trap depth
- ✓ Individual compression/decompression
- \checkmark Density matching of the two clouds
- ✓ Substantially enhance Cr density (and thus T_{F,Cr})

~1.5 10⁵ Li @ T/T_{F,Li} =0.2 ~ 10^5 Cr @ T/T_{F,Cr} =0.25 !

Are there Feshbach resonances in lithium-chromium ?

Loss spectroscopy! Ciamei et al, arXiv:2203.12965

Are there Feshbach resonances in lithium-chromium ?

Loss spectroscopy!

Ciamei et al, arXiv:2203.12965

Enhanced loss mechanisms near a FR



 Spin state:
 Peak densities:
 Temperature:
 Magnetic Field:
 Hold time:

 Li1,2
 Li: 2x10¹² cm⁻³
 3...10uK
 0...1500G
 1...5s

 Cr1,2,3
 Cr: 3x10¹¹ cm⁻³
 Vertice
 Vertice
 Vertice

Loss spectroscopy results

- ✓ >50 resonances found
- ✓ Spectrum is not chaotic!



Loss spectroscopy results

- ✓ >50 resonances found
- ✓ Spectrum is not chaotic!
- Despite Cr complex structure: 2 channels only, as for alkalis

S=7/2

S=5/2



Ciamei et al, arXiv:2203.12965



Ciamei et al, arXiv:2203.12965

Among other infos...

✓ Several Gauss wide p-wave resonances at low field (0...50 G)

✓ High-field (>1.4kG) resonances are *s*-wave. Two w/o 2-body losses

P-wave resonant Fermi mixtures possible with Cr-Li ! S-wave good for few-body physics discussed previously! Perfect for molecule formation !

Among other infos...

✓ Several Gauss wide p-wave resonances at low field (0...50 G)

✓ High-field (>1.4kG) resonances are *s*-wave. Two w/o 2-body losses

P-wave resonant Fermi mixtures possible with Cr-Li ! S-wave good for few-body physics discussed previously! Perfect for molecule formation !

✓ But broadest *s*-wave resonances are narrow (∆B ~0.5 G, R*~5000a₀): similar to K-Li mixtures, but at much higher fields

Can we exploit them really??



Can we exploit them really ??

1. RF spectroscopy on thermal samples (7 μ K)



Extreme (<1 Hz) sensitivity to interaction shifts

Can we exploit them really ??

2. Association of CrLi dimers on degenerate mix. (ONGOING)



Can we exploit them really ??

2. Association of CrLi dimers on degenerate mix. (ONGOING)



Can we exploit them really ??

2. Association of CrLi dimers on degenerate mix. (ONGOING)



>60k molecules formed, still room for improvement !

Already now: **<u>PSD~1</u>**, close to/below T_c for condensation !

✓ Maximize molecule number in crossed BODT (ONGOING)

- ✓ Maximize molecule number in crossed BODT (ONGOING)
- ✓ Collisional stability of dimers (with Li, Cr & LiCr) (ONGOING)
- ✓ Condensation of LiCr Feshbach molecules (ONGOING)

- ✓ Maximize molecule number in crossed BODT (ONGOING)
- ✓ Collisional stability of dimers (with Li, Cr & LiCr) (ONGOING)
- ✓ Condensation of LiCr Feshbach molecules (ONGOING)
- ✓ Cr-dimer interactions & few-body physics (3D, but also 2D)
- ✓ Light vs heavy impurity problems
- ✓ Optical spectroscopy on Feshbach dimers



- ✓ Maximize molecule number in crossed BODT (ONGOING)
- ✓ Collisional stability of dimers (with Li, Cr & LiCr) (ONGOING)
- ✓ Condensation of LiCr Feshbach molecules (ONGOING)
- ✓ Cr-dimer interactions & few-body physics (3D, but also 2D)

NEARFUTURE

- ✓ Light vs heavy impurity problems
- ✓ Optical spectroscopy on Feshbach dimers
- ✓ Similar studies near <u>p-wave</u> resonances

Thanks !

Stefano Finelli – (PhD student) Antonio Cosco (Master student)

Andreas Trenkwalder (CNR Researcher) Alessio Ciamei (Marie-Curie fellow)



Andrea Simoni



Dmitry Petrov



Michal Tomza







erc